## NON-CLP SUPERFUND ANALYTICAL SERVICES TRACKING FORM

Region: 5 Reference No.: 4Q95ESAT-6 CERCLIS No.: ILD980606693

10/17/95

Sampling Period 08/07/95 to 08/11/95

Page 1

1. Site name:

BROWNING FERRIS

LOVES PARK, IL

Project Leader:

BROWNING FERRIS

357884

Type(s) of activity: FSIP

3a. Analytical facility/equipment used: L - FIXED LABORATORY

3b. Lab name: REGIONAL ESD LABORATORY CHICAGO, IL

4a. Funding lead: SUPERFUND

4b. Field contract: STATE Contractor Company: IEPA

5a. Total number of samples analyzed: 10

5b. Specific Analysis Information:

1)	Analysis Type		Facility Code L	Matrix RES WELL	# of Samples 2
		Sample Source CLP SOW	Preparation Method #	Sou CLP	Analysis rce Method # SOW
2)	SEMIVOAs		L	RES WELL	2
		CLP SOW		CLP	SOW
3)	PESTICIDES	& PCBs	L	RES WELL	2
		CLP SOW		CLP	SOW
4)	METALS		L	RES WELL	2
		CLP SOW		CLP	SOW
5)	CYANIDE		L	RES WELL	2
		CLP SOW		CLP	SOW

## NON-CLP SUPERFUND ANALYTICAL SERVICES TRACKING FORM

Region: 5 Reference No.: 4Q95ESAT-6 CERCLIS No.: ILD980606693

10/17	/95 Sampling Period 08/07/95 to 08/11/95	Page 2
6.	Reasons for selecting non-CLP services: NO SAS LAB	
7.	Are environmental data stored electronically and available to EPA? Contained on $(P = PC, M = Mainframe)$ :	Y
8.	Turnaround time: 14 days Was it met? D	
9 <b>a</b> .	Document(s) where sampling, analytical, and QC requirements are def	ined:
	[ ] QAPjP [ ] SAP [X] FOP/TDD/TID [ ] Other:	
9b.	Document(s) approved by: [ ] ESD [ ] WMD [X] Other: Affiliation: EPA	
9c,	For each analytical facility/equipment used, please indicate whethe $QA/QC$ requirements were defined in the above documents (Def) and who compliance was adequate to meet the intended purpose (Met).  (Y = Yes, N = No, R = Data not reviewed for this criterion)	r the ether
	Facility> L - FIXED LABORATORY  Def Met  Analytical Methods Y R  Sample preservation & handling Y R  Sample chain of custody Y R  Sample holding times Y R  Detection/quantification limits Y R  Equipment/maintenance/calibration Y R  Documentation Y R  Frequency & type of QC samples Y R	
10.	Was the laboratory audited as part of the Superfund program by: [ ] EPA or EPA Contractor [ ] PRP [ ] Not Audited [X] Don' Comment:	t Know
11a.	Were data reviewed for technical limitations? Y	
11b.	Reviewed by: [ ] ESD/ESAT [ ] User [X] Other: Affiliation: CRL	
11c.	Extent of data review: Full review of 0 % of the data Partial review of 0 % of the data	
11d.	Review criteria used:     [ ] CLP National Functional Guidelines     [ ] QA/QC Guidance for Removal Activities (ERT Guidance)     [X] Other: CRL SOP GUIDELINES	